

CIC Stakeholder Survey Results

Winter 2009

1) What impact would you like CIC to have on the community?

- Cost savings, cost avoidance, saving time
- National impact and recognition of national leadership and collaboration models
- Developing people and increasing academic opportunities for faculty, staff and students.

2) How will you know if CIC has been successful 1 year/5 years from now?

- Measurable impact of current programs
- Minimize hassle/cost-containment of collaborations
- More creative and consequential collaborations arising
- Healthy life-cycle progression of collaborations
- Evidence that CIC collaboration models are adopted elsewhere
- Satisfied and engaged deans, staff and faculty groups (attendance)

3) How would you prioritize those impacts?

1. Cost savings, cost avoidance, saving time
2. Developing people and increasing academic opportunities for faculty, staff and students
3. National impact and recognition of national leadership and collaboration models;

4) What data would you find most valuable regarding CIC's success?

- Cost and time savings (leveraged purchasing, but also time/cost saved in service provisions, in exploration of new services and service models, and while increasing the fidelity of discovery)
- Relevant data on program participants and meetings
- Longitudinal data on program impact
- “Opportunity premium” and other qualitative measures of membership

5) What one statement would you like to be able to make about CIC?

- We are “better. together.” Better individuals, better universities and we contribute significantly to a better American HE system because of our innovative work together.
- We are a consortium of like-minded peer research universities with a very strong academic brand that sets and challenges higher education standards.
- The member institutions of the CIC consistently over-perform relative to their budgets due to effective collaboration.